

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Aī	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/468,249	12/10/9	9 CHANG		M	M-7970-US
024251		MM91/0620	一	E	XAMINER
SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP			RAO.S	<del></del>	
25 METRO DI SUITE 700	RIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE C	A 95110			2814	
				DATE MAILED:	
					06/20/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

:								
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Offic Action Summary		09/468,249	CHANG ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Steven H. Rao	2814					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failure	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  10 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	n					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.								
4a)-Of-the above claim(s)is/are_withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
"	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.						
	2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	2 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
The second secon								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority direction of the second o								
Attachme		40 🗖 1-4	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
16) 🗆 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 19) Notice of Infor	mary (P10-413) Paper No(3) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01)



## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent publication No. 9-64421( herein after Yamada-I).

With respect to calim1 Yamada —1 describes a semiconductor package including: a semiconductor die having first and second sides (Yamada-I fig. 1 # 3), a first electrical terminal located on first side (Yamada-1 fig. 1 # 7) at least a second electrical terminal located on second side (Yamada —1, fig. 1 # 7 above 2) and a cup shaped lead frame in contact with first terminal (Yamada-1 fig. 1 # 5, the die being located in the cup (Fig. 1, 3 within 5), and atleast one of the lead frame containing a portion coplanar with the second side of the die (Fig. 1 end of 5 coplanar with 7 that is above 2).

With respect to claim 2, Yamada –1 describes a semiconductor package including: a layer of conductive cement located inside the cup between the first terminal and the lead frame (Yamada-1, fig. 1 # 6 between 7-1 and the cup 5).

Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamda-1 as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Umemoto ( Japanese Patent Publication No. 8-335720, herein after Umemoto).

With respect to claim 3, Yamada –1 describes a semiconductor package including: a cup shaped lead frame.

Yamada-1 does not specifically disclose the conductive cement to be an epoxy but mentions a silver compound. However it is well known in the art to use an epoxy cement instead of silver compound.

With respect to claim 4 , Yamada –1 describes a semiconductor package including : a cup shaped lead frame.

Yamada-1 does not specifically disclose a plastic capsule in contact with the outside of the cup.

However Umemoto in fig. 1# 5 and English Abstract describes an epoxy resin capsule 5 that is contact with the outside of the cup to prevent exfoliation of the electrode and improve the reliability thereof.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Umemoto's capsule in Yamada-1's device to prevent exfoliation of the electrode and improve the reliability thereof. ( Umemoto – English Abstract last two lines).

With respect to claim 5, Yamada –1 describes a semiconductor package including: wherein the die is in electrical contact with a bottom of the cup (Yamada –1, fig. 1 3 in electrical contact with 5)

With respect to claim 6, Yamada –1 describes a semiconductor package including: wherein a plastic material is located inside the cup between an edge of the die and the lead frame (Umemmoto figs. 2 and 3 # 24).

With respect to claim 6, Yamada –1 describes a semiconductor package including: wherein the semiconductor die comprises a MOSFET. (well known in the art).

With respect to claim 8, Yamada –1 describes a semiconductor package including: wherein semiconductor die comprises an Integrated circuit (Yamada-1 figs.).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven H. Rao whose telephone number is 703-306-5945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8.00 to 5.00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

GN3/01

\*\*\*

June 13, 2001

OLIK\_CHAUDHURI\_\_\_\_

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800